



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Suryakant B. Patel
DOCKET NO.: 22-03538.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-24-405-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Suryakant B. Patel, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$127,870
IMPR.: \$164,280
TOTAL: \$292,150

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,322 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2000 and is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage containing 615 square feet of building area. The property has a 19,288 square foot site and is located in Burr Ridge, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood as the subject and within .40 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 16,120 to 28,630 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of either brick or frame exterior construction that range in size from 3,214 to 3,839 square feet of living area that range in age from 24 to 26 years old. The appellant reported that each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 706 to 801 square feet of building area. The comparables sold

from August 2019 to April 2021 for prices ranging from \$688,800 to \$845,000 or from \$214.31 to \$252.99 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$292,150. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$876,538 or \$263.86 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. The comparables are located in the same assessment neighborhood as the subject and within .22 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 18,524 to 33,545 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of either frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,097 to 3,349 square feet of living area that were built from 1993 to 1999. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 614 to 768 square feet of building area. The comparable sold from March to July 2022 for prices ranging from \$840,000 to \$1,137,500 or from \$254.47 to \$339.65 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to their sales dates occurring in 2019 and 2020, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2022 assessment date, than any other sales in the record. The Board gave reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 due to its finished basement, a feature that the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3 along with the board of review's comparables #1 and #2. The Board finds that these three comparables sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2022 assessment date and are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These three comparables sold from April 2021 to July 2022 for prices ranging from \$845,000 to \$1,137,500 or from \$252.99 to \$339.65 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

value of \$876,538 or \$263.86 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range of the three best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the three best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Suryakant B. Patel, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
33 North LaSalle Street
28th Floor
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187