

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Kianoosh Jafari TR
DOCKET NO.:	22-03515.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-34-302-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kianoosh Jafari TR, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$20,380
IMPR.:	\$255,610
TOTAL:	\$275,990

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story townhouse of brick, masonry, or stone exterior construction with 3,180 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006 and is approximately 16 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage containing 420 square feet of building area. The property has a 6,375 square foot site and is located in Oak Brook, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story townhomes of brick or masonry exterior construction each containing 3,180 square feet of living area. The homes are 15 or 16 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a basement, and a garage containing 420 square feet

Docket No: 22-03515.001-R-1

of building area.¹ The parcels range in size from 5,792 to 17,273 square feet of land area. The comparables sold in December 2019 or May 2021 for prices ranging from \$700,000 to \$780,000 or from \$220.13 to \$245.28 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$246,087, for an estimated market value of \$738,335 or \$232.18 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$275,990. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$828,053 or \$260.39 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story townhomes of brick, masonry, or stone exterior construction each containing 3,180 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2006 or 2007. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a basement, and a garage containing 420 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 7,559 to 10,152 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2019 to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$820,000 to \$850,000 or from \$257.86 to \$267.30 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3, as well as board of review comparables #1 and #2, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue in this appeal.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sale #1 and board of review comparable sales #3 and #4, which sold proximate to the assessment sate at issue and are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$700,000 to \$850,000 or from \$220.13 to \$267.30 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market

¹ Details not reported by the appellant were drawn from the comparable grid submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant in rebuttal.

 $^{^2}$ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code \$1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2022.

value of \$828,053 or \$260.39 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 16, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

JAFARI TR, KIANOOSH, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 33 North LaSalle Street 28th Floor Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187