



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Edwin & Carrie Schaeffer  
DOCKET NO.: 22-02872.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 23-16-05-300-028-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edwin & Carrie Schaeffer, the appellants; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the Will County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$31,200  
**IMPR.:** \$127,133  
**TOTAL:** \$158,333

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of Dryvit exterior construction with 4,060 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 1954, with a reported renovation in 2000. Features of the home include a walk-out style basement with finished area, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, a pole barn, a cottage, and a garage containing 594 square feet of building area. The property has a 7.8 acre site and is located in Crete, Crete Township, Will County.

The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellants submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on September 9, 2020 for a price of \$475,000. The appellants reported that the sellers were Greg and Carole Laka, the

---

<sup>1</sup> Details of the subject were drawn from the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheet submitted by the appellants. The parties differ as to the subject's dwelling size; the Board finds the property record card submitted by the board of review, which contains a detailed property sketch, to be the best evidence of dwelling size in the record.

parties to the transaction were not related, and the property was sold through a realtor. The appellants also indicated the property was advertised for sale through the Multiple Listing Service for a period of 16 days. In further support of the appeal, the appellants submitted a copy of the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheet associated with the sale and the settlement statement, which lists the sale price of \$475,000, a settlement date of September 9, 2020, and depicts commissions being distributed to Keller Williams and Coldwell Banker. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$186,518. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$559,610 or \$137.83 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment.

The board of review also submitted a memorandum, in which the board of review recommended a reduction of the subject's total assessment to \$184,466 to reflect the subject's purchase price with the application of equalization factors.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best and only evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in September 2020 for a price of \$475,000. The appellants provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellants completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service, and it had been on the market for 16 days. In further support of the transaction the appellants submitted a copy of the settlement statement and MLS sheet associated with the sale.

The Illinois Supreme Court has held that a contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). The Board finds the purchase price of \$475,000 is below the market value reflected by the assessment of \$559,610, land included.

The Board further finds that the board of review agreed that the September 2020 sale was an arm's length transaction and determined the purchase price was sufficient to reduce the subject's assessment to reflect that transaction plus the 2021 and 2022 township equalization factors. However, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the argument by the board of review that the

subject's 2020 sale price should be increased due to the equalization factors of 1.0670 and 1.0920 is unsupported and unpersuasive.

First, the Board finds that the Will County Board of Review did not present any substantive evidence of subsequent events that occurred which would cause a change in the subject's market value from its September 2020 purchase price. Second, the board of review did not present any evidence of market value, such as comparable sales, in support of the subject's assessment in order to establish that the sale price was no longer the best evidence of the subject's market value.

Finally, the Board takes judicial notice of the purpose of equalization factors as set forth in the Illinois Department of Revenue publication, PTAX-1004, The Illinois Property Tax System, page 17, concerning how uniformity in assessments is achieved by applying equalization factors:

The assessment/sales ratio study shows **whether or not assessments within a given area actually average 33 1/3 percent of market value.** If the results of the study indicate that assessments are either higher or lower than 33 1/3 percent, a blanket percentage increase or decrease, called an "equalization factor" or "multiplier" is calculated and applied to all non-farm property to bring the level of assessment to 33 1/3 percent. The application of this uniform percentage increase or decrease to assessed values is called "equalization." [Emphasis added.]

Here, in the absence of other market value evidence suggesting that the sale price was no longer reflective of market value, based on this record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's 2022 assessment is not reflective of market value. In conclusion, as the appellants have established that the subject property is overvalued based upon its assessment, a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellants' request is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 21, 2023



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Edwin & Carrie Schaeffer  
3410 87th Ave  
Crete, IL 60417

COUNTY

Will County Board of Review  
Will County Office Building  
302 N. Chicago Street  
Joliet, IL 60432