

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lyle Arnst

DOCKET NO.: 22-02517.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-21-205-020

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lyle Arnst, the appellant, by attorney Timothy C. Jacobs, of Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,860 **IMPR.:** \$22,250 **TOTAL:** \$28,110

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,000 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1924. Features of the home include an unfinished basement and 1.5 baths. The property has a 7,600 square foot site and is located in Zion, Zion Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as 1.75-story or 2-story dwellings of wood siding or aluminum siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,024 to 1,144 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1909 to 1931. Two comparables have basements. One comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has one or two baths. The comparables have

improvement assessments ranging from \$21,976 to \$22,700 or from \$19.35 to \$22.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$30,272. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$24,412 or \$24.41 per square foot of living area.

The board of review noted appellant's comparables #1 and #4 have no basements.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of wood siding, aluminum siding, or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 1,022 to 1,092 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1908 to 1944. Comparable #4 has an effective age of 1947. Four comparables have basements and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. One comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has one to two baths. Four comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 240 to 704 square feet of building area. One comparable has a fireplace. Comparable #4 is also reported to have a carport. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,367 to \$30,586 or from \$22.31 to \$28.68 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #5 which lack basements which is a feature of the subject. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 which have garages unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparable #1 which are relatively similar to the subject location, dwelling size, age and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$22,573 to \$24,367 or from \$21.22 to \$22.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24,412 or \$24.41 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is excessive. Based on this record, the Board finds the

appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

22	- Fe-
	hairman
a R	Aster Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 26, 2024
	111:10 16
	Mano
	Cl. d. of d. D

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Lyle Arnst, by attorney: Timothy C. Jacobs Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit 175 North Archer Avenue Mundelein, IL 60060

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085