

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Yungjie Li & Min Sun DOCKET NO.: 22-02432.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-21-212-043

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yungjie Li & Min Sun, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$36,735 **IMPR.:** \$76,210 **TOTAL:** \$112,945

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story townhome of frame exterior construction with 1,596 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 400 square feet of building area. The property is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three comparable sales located within .19 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction containing either 1,596 or 1,674 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1995 or 1996. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement with finished area, and a garage containing either 400 or 440 square feet of

building area. The comparables sold from January to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$305,000 to \$333,000 or from \$188.17 to \$198.92 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduced assessment of \$101,657, for an estimated market value of \$305,002 or \$191.10 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$112,945. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$338,869 or \$212.32 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood, four of which are located within .14 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of 2-story or part one-story and part-two story<sup>2</sup> dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,596 to 1,857 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1995 or 1996. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a basement with three having finished area, and a garage containing either 400 or 440 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from March 2020 to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$351,900 to \$425,500 or from \$210.02 to \$229.13 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellants critiqued the board of review's comparables.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not *meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #3, #4, and #5, which differ from the subject in dwelling size, basement finish, and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellants' comparable sales and board of review comparable sales #1 and #2, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although the board of review's grid describes comparable #1 as a one-story dwelling, the grid reports first floor and second floor living area, indicating that this property is a part two-story dwelling.

similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$305,000 to \$359,000 or from \$188.17 to \$224.94 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$338,869 or \$212.32 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	Middle
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Annual Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Yungjie Li & Min Sun, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085