

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	William Murphy
DOCKET NO.:	22-02428.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	11-30-303-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Murphy, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$16,246
IMPR.:	\$38,928
TOTAL:	\$55,174

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 912 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1954 and has an effective age of 1984.¹ Features of the home include an unfinished basement and central air conditioning. The property has a 7,592 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located within .13 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction each containing 912 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1953 to

¹ Details not reported by the appellant were drawn from the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review.

1955. Each dwelling has an unfinished basement. Five dwellings have central air conditioning and one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,157 to \$35,086 or from \$35.26 to \$38.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$32,802 or \$35.97 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$55,174. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$38,928 or \$42.68 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .18 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction each containing 912 square feet of living area. The homes were each built in 1954 and have an effective age of 1984 or 1985. Each dwelling has an unfinished basement and a garage ranging in size from 280 to 660. Three comparables have central air conditioning and one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,495 to \$44,210 or from \$44.40 to \$48.48 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant critiqued the board of review comparables and submitted a grid of the suggested best equity comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables, which lack a reported effective age. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparable #3, which lacks central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1, #2, and #4, which are identical or nearly identical to the subject in age, effective age, and dwelling size, noting that each of these comparables would require a downward adjustment for their garages in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$40,495 to \$44,210 or from \$44.40 to \$48.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$38,928 or \$42.68 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for

differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 20, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Murphy, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085