

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Rodolfo Maglio
DOCKET NO.:	22-02048.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	11-14-103-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rodolfo Maglio, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$74,623
IMPR.:	\$144,672
TOTAL:	\$219,295

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,879 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2003. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a 641 square foot attached garage, and a 360 square foot detached garage. The property has a 120,420 square foot site and is located in Green Oaks, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from 0.45 of a mile to 1.47 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 24,882 to 111,922 square feet of land area and are improved with 1-story homes of brick or frame with brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,795 to 3,304 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1980 to 1996 and the appellant reported comparables #2 and #3 were recently rehabbed. Each home has

a basement, one of which has finished area,¹ central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 480 to 1,880 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from August 2020 to October 2021 for prices ranging from \$545,000 to \$670,000 or from \$194.99 to \$215.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$219,295. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$657,951 or \$228.53 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located from 0.27 of a mile to 1.55 miles from the subject. Comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The parcels range in size from 40,340 to 111,922 square feet of land area and are improved with 1-story homes of frame, brick, or frame with brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,156 to 3,231 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 2001. Each home has a basement, one of which is a walkout, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 1,880 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from August 2020 to August 2021 for prices ranging from \$515,000 to \$755,000 or from \$215.39 to \$245.05 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with one common sale, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size or inground swimming pool amenity, and/or location more than one mile from the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #2, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record.

¹ The appellant presented a listing sheet for comparable #3 that describes finished basement area.

 $^{^2}$ Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparable #1, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features, although these comparables have smaller lots than the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables sold for prices of \$670,000 and \$730,000 or \$202.78 and \$225.94 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$657,951 or \$228.53 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the best comparable sales in terms of total market value and above the best comparables on a price per square foot basis, which is logical given these comparables are larger homes than the subject. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 26, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rodolfo Maglio, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085