

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Reim

DOCKET NO.: 22-02010.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-27-305-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Reim, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,668 **IMPR.:** \$141,020 **TOTAL:** \$163,688

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 3,717 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1993. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, four full and one half bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 929 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 18,740 square foot site and is located in Grayslake, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings ranging in size from

¹ Details not reported by the appellant were drawn from the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review.

3,017 to 3,866 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1986 to 1993, with comparable #2 having an effective age of 1996. Each dwelling has two full and one half or three full bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an unfinished basement, and a garage ranging in size from 528 to 910 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 10,019 to 14,810 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March to November 2020 for prices ranging from \$337,500 to \$420,000 or from \$107.35 to \$130.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service sheet for comparable #1, noting that this property was recently renovated. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$147,426, for an estimated market value of \$442,322 or \$119.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$163,688. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$491,113 or \$132.13 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on seven comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #7 is the same property as appellant comparable #4. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,226 to 3,017 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 1993. Each dwelling has two full and one half bathrooms, three full bathrooms, or three full and one half bathrooms. Each dwelling also has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, a basement with six having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 506 to 782 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 10,450 to 31,360 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2020 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$395,000 to \$530,000 or from \$130.92 to \$186.43 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board finds the parties' comparables are not truly similar to the subject due to differences in dwelling

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

size and/or bathroom count. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparable #7, the common comparable, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 valuation date at issue. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparable #6, which lacks finished basement area, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sales #1 through #5, which are similar to the subject in age, location, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$395,000 to \$530,000 or from \$152.69 to \$186.43 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$491,113 or \$132.13 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record overall, below the range on a per-square-foot basis, and appears to be well supported given the subject's larger dwelling, larger garage, and greater bathroom count than the best comparables in the record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	assert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	111:1016
	Mana
-	Clark of the Decree to Too Arrand Decree

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Reim, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085