

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Trevor Bullock DOCKET NO.: 22-01930.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-28-302-027

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Trevor Bullock, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$79,073 **IMPR.:** \$320,942 **TOTAL:** \$400,015

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 6,241 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, six fireplaces, and a garage containing 888 square feet of building area. The property has a 19,823 square foot lakefront site¹ and is located in Vernon Hills, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .39 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,032 to 5,542 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2001 to 2005. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces, an

¹ The board of review reported that the subject "backs to lake," which was not refuted by the appellant.

unfinished basement with two being walk-out style, and a garage ranging in size from 738 to 1,050 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 15,246 to 17,860 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August 2020 to September 2021 for prices ranging from \$780,000 to \$1,190,000 or from \$152.31 to \$214.72 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$359,862, for an estimated market value of \$1,079,694 or \$173.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$400,015. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,200,165 or \$192.30 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales located within .34 of a mile of the subject, one of which is in the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #2 is the same property as appellant comparable #4. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction containing either 5,542 or 5,926 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2004 or 2005. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, four fireplaces, an unfinished walkout style basement, and a garage containing either 758 or 795 square feet of building area. The parcels contain either 17,860 or 18,611 square feet of land area. The comparables sold in April and September 2021 for prices of \$1,090,000 and \$1,190,000 or for \$183.94 to \$214.72 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review argued that the appellant's comparables were dated sales, that appellant comparables #1 and #3 do not back to the lake like the subject, and that the shared comparables was the best comparable in the record. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 through #3, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue in this appeal.

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' shared comparable and board of review comparable #1, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold for prices of \$1,090,000 and \$1,190,000 or for \$183.94 to \$214.72 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,200,165 or \$192.30 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in this record on a per-square-foot basis. While the subject's estimated market value is slightly above the two best comparables overall, the Board finds it logical given the subject's larger dwelling, basement area, and garage. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	Middle 14
	Clark of the Documents Town Association and

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Trevor Bullock, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085