

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mike Olsen

DOCKET NO.: 22-01867.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-21-411-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mike Olsen, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,690 **IMPR.:** \$72,346 **TOTAL:** \$94,036

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of vinyl siding and brick exterior construction with 2,321 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1990. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a 400 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 12,332 square foot site and is located in Island Lake, Wauconda Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on twelve equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code and within 0.30 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings with either 2,298 or 2,360 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1990 to 1993. Each comparable is reported to have an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a garage

with either 380 or 447 square feet of building area. Nine comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$67,291 to \$70,744 or from \$29.28 to \$29.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$68,876 or \$29.68 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$94,906. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,216 or \$31.55 per square foot of living area.

The board of review asserted that the subject was remodeled in 2015, noting the property record card in this record.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on nine equity comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code and within 0.32 of a mile from the subject property. Board of review comparable #7 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #12. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of vinyl siding or vinyl siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,126 to 2,360 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1990 to 1993 with comparable #2, one of the oldest homes, having a reported effective age of 1994. The comparables each have a basement, seven of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 462 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$70,686 to \$79,200 or from \$29.95 to \$34.33 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted twenty equity comparables for the Board's consideration, which includes one comparable shared by the parties. The board gives diminished weight to the appellant's comparable #12 as well as board of review comparables #2, #3, and #5 through #9, which includes the common comparable, reported to have basement finish, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, unfinished basement, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$67,291 to \$72,784 or from \$29.28 to \$31.17 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$73,216 or \$31.55 per square foot of living area falls above the range established

by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 16, 2024
	111:10 16
	Man O
-	Clark of the Decrease Town Associal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mike Olsen, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085