

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Duane Faul
DOCKET NO.:	22-01770.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	05-09-302-034

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Duane Faul, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$28,270
IMPR.:	\$84,749
TOTAL:	\$113,019

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,678 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1992. Features of the home include central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 500 square feet of building area. The property has a 9,304 square foot lakefront site and is located in Fox Lake, Grant Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 1.76 miles of the subject, one of which is in the subject's assessment neighborhood. No sale data was provided for comparable #3, therefore it will not be further analyzed on this record. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,344 to 1,715 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1965 or 1995, with comparable #4 having an

effective age of 1993. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace, and two comparables each have a garage containing either 300 or 380 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 1,417 to 13,965 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February to August 2021 for prices ranging from \$182,900 to \$446,200 or from \$136.09 to \$302.30 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service sheet for comparable #4, noting it had been recently renovated. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$107,381, for an estimated market value of \$322,175 or \$192.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$113,019. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$339,091 or \$202.08 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales located within .46 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #4 is the same property as appellant comparable #4. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,476 to 2,576 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1935 to 2001, with comparables #4, #5, and #6 having effective ages of 1993, 2000, and 1957, respectively. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, three comparables each have one or two fireplaces, and five comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 300 to 705 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have a basement with one being a walk-out style and one having finished area. The parcels range in size from 4,896 to 19,179 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2019 to January 2022 for prices ranging from \$368,000 to \$635,000 or from \$196.23 to \$343.61 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also submitted a memorandum noting that the subject was a lakefront property and that three of the appellant's comparables were either channel-front or inland properties. The board of review argued that its comparables show the subject is underassessed. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 III. Admin. Code \$1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board finds the parties' comparables are not truly similar to the subject due to differences in location, dwelling size, age, and/or features. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, which are either not a waterfront property like the subject or are located more than one mile from the subject. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #2, #3, and #6, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue or differ from the subject in age/effective age.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' shared comparable and board of review comparable sale #5, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features, noting that comparable #5 would require a downward adjustment for its basement foundation in order to make it more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold in April and June 2021 for prices of \$446,200 and \$635,000 or for \$302.30 and \$343.61 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$339,091 or \$202.08 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the two best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 26, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Duane Faul, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085