

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lukasz Ziemianski DOCKET NO.: 22-01740.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-125-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lukasz Ziemianski, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$59,447 **IMPR.:** \$216,356 **TOTAL:** \$275,803

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick and stucco exterior construction containing 3,260 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2001. Features of the home include a full basement with a 1,162 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 4½ bathrooms, and an attached garage with 484 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 9,780 square feet of land area located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales improved with two-story dwellings that range in size from 3,133 to 3,530 square feet of living area. The homes were built from

¹ The board of review submitted a copy of the subject's property record card describing the home as have a full basement with 1,162 square feet of recreation room area, which was not refuted by the appellant in rebuttal.

1966 to 2007 with the oldest home, comparable #1, having an effective construction date of 1983 and the newest home, comparable #3, having an effective construction date of 2008. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ or 4½ bathrooms, and a garage with 441 or 484 square feet of building area. These properties have sites ranging in size from 10,877 to 14,932 square feet of land area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from approximately .14 to .59 of a mile from the subject property. The sales occurred in September 2020 and April 2021 for prices ranging from \$695,000 to \$835,000 or from \$196.88 to \$266.52 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$232,523.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$275,803. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$827,492 or \$253.83 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.³

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales with comparable #2 being the same property as appellant's comparable #3. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,008 to 3,406 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1966 to 2007. Each comparable has a basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, 3½ or 4½ bathrooms, and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 400 to 552 square feet of building area. These properties have sites ranging in size from 9,530 to 16,140 square feet of land area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from approximately .39 to .57 of a mile from the subject property. The sales occurred from November 2020 to December 2021 for prices ranging from \$825,000 to \$1,100,000 or from \$266.52 to \$322.96 per square foot of living area, including land.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparable sales #1, #2 and #4, which includes the common sale submitted by the parties, as these comparables sold most proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The comparables are similar to the subject in location and improved with homes

² The appellant submitted a copy of the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) listing associated with comparable #1 describing the home as being rehabbed in 2016 and having a partially finished basement.

³ Property Tax Appeal Board procedural rule section 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Illinois Department of Revenue (IDOR) will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the IDOR has not published figures for tax year 2022.

similar to the subject in style, size and most features. Board of review comparable #4 is 35 years older than the subject dwelling indicating an upward adjustment for age may be appropriate. These four comparables sold from April to December 2021 for prices ranging from \$726,500 to \$1,100,000 or from \$217.19 to \$322.96 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$827,492 or \$253.83 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Less weight is given appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3 as these properties sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the best sales in the record. Based on this evidence the Board finds the assessment of the subject property as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	Sobrt Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	111.1016
	Man O
	Cl 1 C4 D 4 T A 1D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lukasz Ziemianski, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085