

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Johnny Lim

DOCKET NO.: 22-01661.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-20-301-020

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Johnny Lim, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$48,443 **IMPR.:** \$171,459 **TOTAL:** \$219,902

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction containing 4,321 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1996. Features of the home include a full basement that is partially finished, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 742 square feet of building area. The property has a 44,751 square foot site located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the land as the basis of the appeal; the appellant is not contesting the improvement assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on twelve equity comparables each improved with a single-family dwelling. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 44,058 to 46,912 square feet of land area. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject

property and are located from .10 to .48 of a mile from the subject property.<sup>1</sup> The comparables have land assessments ranging from \$41,381 to \$46,894 or from \$.90 to \$1.04 per square foot of land area. The appellant requested the subject's land assessment be reduced to \$45,870.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$219,902. The subject property has a land assessment of \$48,443 or \$1.08 per square foot of land area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables each improved with a single-family dwelling. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 43,336 to 46,419 square feet of land area. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .07 to .41 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have land assessments ranging from \$45,720 to \$49,057 or from \$1.04 to \$1.10 per square foot of land area. The board of review stated in its analysis that the subject has a +5% land adjustment for backing to park district preserve land.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seventeen comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The comparables are relatively similar to the subject in land area. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located within .48 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have land assessments ranging from \$41,381 to \$49,057 or from \$.90 to \$1.10 per square foot of land area. The subject's land assessment of \$48,443 or \$1.08 per square foot of land area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Importantly, the board of review stated the subject has a +5% land adjustment for backing to park district preserve land, which accounts for the subject property's land assessment being at the higher end of the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's land was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant reported comparable #8 as being located 13.64 miles from the subject, which appears to be in error when comparing the property's parcel number and address to other comparables in the record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	Middle
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Annual Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Johnny Lim, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085