

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marek Pryputniewicz DOCKET NO.: 22-01642.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-10-317-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marek Pryputniewicz, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$58,802 IMPR.: \$78,288 TOTAL: \$137,090

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a tri-level dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 1,328 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1962. Features of the home include a fireplace and an attached 484 square foot garage. The property has a 15,900 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on 12 equity comparables improved with one-story dwellings that range in size from 1,260 to 1,519 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1953 to 1960. Each comparable has a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 345 to 594 square feet of building area. Ten of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant's spreadsheet indicated the comparables are one-story dwellings, however it is not clear from the documentation whether the comparables are tri-level style similar to the subject dwelling.

comparables also have central air conditioning. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 0.10 to 0.97 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$28,749 to \$85,093 or from \$22.82 to \$56.90 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$72,974.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$137,090. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$78,288 or \$58.95 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on 10 equity comparables improved with tri-level dwellings brick, frame or brick and frame construction that range in size from 1,128 to 1,508 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1954 to 1968. Nine of the comparables have central air conditioning, while five have one or two fireplaces and eight have either an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 264 to 720 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject are located from 0.02 to 0.85 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$70,971 to \$91,165 or from \$57.99 to \$68.35 per square foot of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains 22 equity comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables because the appellant's spreadsheet did not disclose whether the comparables are tri-level style dwellings similar to the subject and due to the ages and/or dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #3, #6, #7 and #9 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or age. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #2, #4, #5, #8, and #10, which are the most comparable in terms of age, size, and location. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$70,971 to \$85,125 or from \$57.99 to \$63.06 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$78,288 or \$58.95 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 16, 2024
	14:1016
	Mand

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Marek Pryputniewicz, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085