

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Larry Hirsch
DOCKET NO.: 22-01617.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-28-120-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Larry Hirsch, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$53,395 **IMPR.:** \$138,785 **TOTAL:** \$192,180

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 1,820 square feet of above ground living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1959. Features of the home include a 924 square foot fully finished lower level, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 462 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 10,125 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 12 equity

¹ Both parties described the subject as a one-story dwelling, however, the Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the property record card provided by the board of review depicting the subject dwelling as a multi-level structure with a fully finished lower level situated on an approximately 10,125 square foot site, which was not refuted by the appellant in any rebuttal evidence.

comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and are located within .49 of a mile from the subject property. The appellant reported the comparables are improved with one-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,636 to 2,017 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings were built from 1959 to 1964. Comparable #10 has a basement. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 480 to 550 square feet of building area. Eleven comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$74,245 to \$119,743 or from \$44.48 to \$65.00 per square foot of above ground living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$107,835 or \$59.25 per square foot of above ground living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$192,180. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$138,785 or \$76.26 per square foot of above ground living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .45 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review reported that the comparables are improved with one-story dwellings² of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,776 to 2,020 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings were built from 1960 to 1965. Each comparable has a 928 to a 1,176 square foot fully finished lower level, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 575 to 1,105 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$148,411 to \$163,939 or from \$79.62 to \$83.61 per square foot of above ground living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains 16 suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their less similar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject and/or their lack of a finished lower level, a feature of the subject.

² The board of review's grid analysis indicates that each comparable has a finished lower level, like the subject, suggesting the dwellings are multi-level in design.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2, which have finished lower levels, like the subject and are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$148,411 and \$148,493 or \$79.62 and \$83.61 per square foot of above ground living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$138,785 or \$76.26 per square foot of above ground living area falls below the two best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

April 16, 2024	
MilAL	
ryam O-1	
	Middle Toy American Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Larry Hirsch, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085