

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Richard Kolze
DOCKET NO.: 22-01560.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-27-111-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Kolze, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$29,632 **IMPR.:** \$141,277 **TOTAL:** \$170,909

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 2,788 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 1992. Features of the home include a walk-out basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 12,045 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 12 comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are reported to be 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that have either 2,722 or 2,788

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review.

square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1989 to 1993. Each comparable is reported to have a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage with 440 square feet of building area. Eleven comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$108,335 to \$133,884 or from \$38.86 to \$48.02 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$170,909. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$141,277 or \$50.67 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,503 to 2,883 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1991 to 1993 with comparable #3 having an effective year built of 1998. The comparables are reported to have basements, three of which are walk-out. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage with either 420 or 440 square feet of building area. Comparable #6 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$130,724 to \$147,521 or from \$50.41 to \$52.38 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 18 equity comparables for the Board's consideration that are similar to the subject in location, age, design and features. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #5 and #6 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size. Furthermore, board of review comparable #6 has an inground swimming pool which is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$108,335 to \$147,521 or from \$38.86 to \$51.30 per square foot of living area. Furthermore, the Board finds the only two comparables with walk-out basements like the subject have improvement assessments of \$143,014 and \$147,521 or \$51.17 and \$51.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$141,277 or \$50.67 per square foot of living area falls within range established by the best comparables in this record and falls below the two comparables with walk-out basements. After considering appropriate

adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	1111216
	Man Ca
	Claula a Cala a Dua usanta Tasa Augus a 1 Dagus 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Richard Kolze, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085