

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Zoltan Gaspar DOCKET NO.: 22-01551.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-14-203-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Zoltan Gaspar, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$32,703 **IMPR.:** \$117,806 **TOTAL:** \$150,509

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,679 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include a basement, 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 713 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 41,952 square foot site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 12 equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and from 0.70 of a mile to 1.76 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,562 to 2,793 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1981 to 1991. Each comparable has a basement, $2\frac{1}{2}$ or $3\frac{1}{2}$

bathrooms, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 1,039 square feet of building area. Eleven homes each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$94,239 to \$113,880 or from \$34.79 to \$42.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$106,584 or \$39.78 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$150,509. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$117,806 or \$43.97 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on 15 equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and from 0.60 of a mile to 1.81 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,390 to 2,979 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1984 to 1994. Each comparable has a basement, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 460 to 960 square feet of building area. Fourteen homes have central air conditioning, four comparables each have a gazebo and one home features an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$106,784 to \$133,286 or from \$44.05 to \$45.85 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted comments asserting the subject property is substantially newer in age than other properties in the record and that the subject's assessment was reduced by the board of review for the 2020 tax year which has carried forward. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 27 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The parties' comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location and age. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #1, #2 and #4 through #12 and board of review comparables #1, #3 through #11, #13 and #15 which differ from the subject in age and/or feature an inground swimming pool which the subject property lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparable #3 along with board of review comparables #2, #12 and #14 which are more similar to the subject in age and design, but present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, dwelling size, bathroom count and garage size, suggesting adjustments are needed to make these properties

more equivalent to the subject. These best comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$106,172 to \$115,981 or from \$39.68 to \$45.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$117,806 or \$43.97 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis and within the range on a per square foot basis. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 16, 2024
	111:10 16
	Man O
-	Clark of the Decrease Town Associal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Zoltan Gaspar, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085