



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Daniel J. Maro
DOCKET NO.: 22-01539.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-18-303-024

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daniel J. Maro, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,869
IMPR.: \$67,839
TOTAL: \$77,708

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 1,820 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include 2½ bathrooms, an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 10,010 square foot site and is located in Zion, Zion Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on twelve equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,748 to 1,886 square

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject's dwelling size was found in its property record card, submitted by the board of review, which contains a sketch with dimensions and was not refuted by the appellant.

feet of living area. The homes were built from 1995 to 2003. Each comparable has from 2 to 3 bathrooms, an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 440 square feet of building area. Ten homes each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$52,836 to \$64,473 or from \$29.03 to \$34.96 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$62,343 or \$34.25 per square foot of living area when using the subject's dwelling size of 1,820 square feet of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$77,708. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$67,839 or \$37.27 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,690 to 1,936 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2001 to 2005. Each comparable has 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 682 square feet of building area. Five dwellings each have one fireplace. Comparables #2 and #3 each have a sunroom. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$66,862 to \$75,558 or from \$37.56 to \$40.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 20 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #2, #3, #4, #5, #7, #9 and #10 along with board of review comparables #1, #2, #3, #7 and #8 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size and bathroom count than other properties in the record. Furthermore, board of review comparables #2 and #3 each have a sunroom amenity which the subject property lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparables #1, #6, #8, #11 and #12 along with board of review comparables #4, #5 and #6 which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age but are identical or nearly identical to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, bathroom count and some other features. Board of review comparable #6 is considered most similar to the subject and has an improvement assessment of \$68,508 or \$37.64 per square foot of living area. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$52,836 to \$69,995 or from \$29.03 to \$38.39 per square foot of living area. The

subject's improvement assessment of \$67,839 or \$37.27 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and is well supported by the most similar comparable in the record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Daniel J. Maro, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085