

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michelle Schumer DOCKET NO.: 22-01471.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-30-305-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michelle Schumer, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$37,186 **IMPR.:** \$222,132 **TOTAL:** \$259,318

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,964 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1993. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an 830 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 45,738 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from 0.34 of a mile to 2.12 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 43,996 to 79,715 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, brick, or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,986 to 4,482 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1979 to 1990. Each comparable has a basement, with two

having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 792 to 1,044 square feet of building area. The properties sold from December 2020 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$625,000 to \$665,000 or from \$145.00 to \$156.80 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$229,976 which reflects a market value of \$689,997 or \$139.00 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$259,318. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$778,032 or \$156.73 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.62 of a mile from the subject property. Board of review comparable #1 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The comparables have sites that range in size from 41,818 to 97,574 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, brick, or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,986 to 5,628 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1990 to 2003 with comparable #2 having an effective age of 1996. Each comparable has a basement, with four having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 759 to 1,044 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool, a gazebo and hot tubs while comparable #4 features a tennis court amenity. The properties sold from November 2019 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$625,000 to \$1,150,000 or from \$156.80 to \$217.12 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3 which sold in 2020 and 2019, respectively, less proximate to the January 1, 2022 assessment date than other properties in the record. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #4 which feature either an inground swimming pool or a tennis court, features which the subject property lack.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant comparables #2 and #3 along with board of review comparables #1 and #5, including the common property, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue but present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and other features. Nevertheless, these comparables sold from September 2021 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$625,000 to \$825,000 or from \$152.45 to \$163.37 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$778,032 or \$156.73 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Therefore, on this limited record, after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment appears justified and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	111.10 16
	Man O
	C1 1 C 1 D 1 D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michelle Schumer, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085