

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Victoria Stencel DOCKET NO.: 22-01469.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-30-303-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Victoria Stencel, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$40,234 **IMPR.:** \$146,407 **TOTAL:** \$186,641

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,380 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1987. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an 850 square foot garage. The property has a 38,333 square foot site located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales, one of which is in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property, located between 0.32 of a mile to 1.69 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 39,204 to 79,715 square feet of land area. The properties are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, brick, or frame and brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,101 to 3,986 square feet of living area that were built between 1979 and 1990 with comparable #3 having an effective age of 1980. Each dwelling has central air-conditioning, one or three fireplaces, a basement with two

having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 800 to 1,044 square feet of building area. The sales occurred between May 2021 and March 2022 for prices ranging from \$600,000 to \$685,000 or from \$156.80 to \$220.90 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$186,641. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$559,979 or \$165.67 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%. ¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable properties, two sharing the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject, located between 0.11 of a mile to 1.59 miles from the subject property. The board of review's comparable #1 was also provided by the appellant and identified as appellant's comparable #3. The comparables have sites that range in size from 39,204 to 80,586 square feet of land area. These properties consist of two-story dwellings of frame, brick or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,101 to 3,902 square feet of living area that were built between 1979 and 1989 with one having an effective age of 1980. Each dwelling has central airconditioning, one to three fireplaces, a basement with four having finished areas, and a garage ranging in size from 805 to 980 square feet of building area. Comparables #2 and #5 also have an inground swimming pool. The five sales occurred between August 2020 and April 2022 for prices ranging from \$565,000 to \$861,000 or from \$161.61 to \$254.36 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #4 and #5, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date, and the board of review's comparables #1/appellant's comparable #3 due to differences in age. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #2 and #3 and the appellant's comparable #1 and #2, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and

¹ Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Adm. Code 1910.50(C)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax yar 2022.

features. These properties were sold for prices ranging from \$600,000 to \$861,000 or from \$156.80 to \$254.36 per square foot of living area, including land.

The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$559,979 or \$165.67 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable properties. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	Middle
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Annual Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Victoria Stencel, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085