



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Heidmann
DOCKET NO.: 22-01468.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-30-102-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Heidmann, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$53,036
IMPR.: \$183,222
TOTAL: \$236,258

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,758 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1986, with an effective age of 1991. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an attached 864 square foot garage, and a 594 square foot detached garage. The property has a 64,469 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales, two of which are in the same assessment neighborhood code, located from 0.09 of a mile to 2.64 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 56,192 to 157,016 square feet of land area and are improved with two story dwellings of brick, frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,043 to 4,066 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1980 or 1989. Each home

has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 690 to 897 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 also has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2020 to September 2021 for prices ranging from \$560,000 to \$710,000 or from \$174.62 to \$184.03 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$236,258. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$708,845 or \$188.62 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code and from 0.08 to 0.36 of a mile from the subject. Comparables #2 and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, respectively. The parcels range in size from 56,192 to 157,016 square feet of land area and are improved with two story homes of frame, brick or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,212 to 4,066 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built between 1979 and 1989. Each home has a basement, all of which have finished area with one being a walk out, one to three fireplaces, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 690 to 864 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from April 2020 to November 2021 for prices ranging from \$640,000 to \$875,000 or from \$174.62 to \$237.38 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparable sales, with two common sales, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #2/appellant's comparable #1, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in site size, square footage of living area and features. One of the comparables has a basement with finished area that is a walkout, one comparable has a larger lot size and finished

¹ Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Adm. Code 1910.50(C)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

area in the basement, while the subject has an additional detached garage unlike these two properties, suggesting adjustments to these comparables would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices of \$710,000 and \$875,000 or for \$174.62 and \$237.38 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$708,845 or \$188.62 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in terms of price per square foot and is below both comparable sales on a total market value basis. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 20, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Heidmann, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085