

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Uday Mehta
DOCKET NO.:	22-01433.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-13-105-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Uday Mehta, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$80,228
IMPR.:	\$329,247
TOTAL:	\$409,475

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 6,374 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007. Features of the home include a walk-out basement, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and a garage with 1,182 square feet of building area. The property has a 40,345 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within .24 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 40,281 to 43,037 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size 5,227 to 7,162 square feet of living area that were built from 2004 to 2006. The appellant

reported that each comparable has a basement, one with finished area¹ and three of either walkout or look-out design. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 897 to 1,143 square feet of building area. Comparable #5 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2020 to May 2021 for prices ranging from \$885,000 to \$1,150,000 or from \$154.98 to \$199.17 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$409,475. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,228,548 or \$192.74 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. Comparables #2 and #3 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #5 and #4, respectively. The comparables are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within .23 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 40,281 to 40,923 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 5,272 to 5,951 square feet of living area that were built in 2005 and 2006. Each comparable has a basement, with one of look-out design. Each comparable has central air conditioning, three or five fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 956 to 1,122 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from June 2020 to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$1,050,000 to \$1,360,000 or from \$199.17 to \$228.53 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted a total of six comparable properties for the Board's consideration, with two comparables being common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3, appellant comparable #5/board of review comparable #2 due to their remote sale dates occurring in 2020, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2022, assessment date given other sales available in the record.

¹ The appellant submitted a Multiple Listing Service (MLS) data sheet for comparable #1, disclosing the property to have a basement with finished area and that the property was rehabbed in 2013.

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable #4/board of review comparable #3 along with board of review comparable #1. The Board finds that these two comparables sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2022 assessment date and are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables sold in May and July 2021 for prices of \$1,150,000 and \$1,360,000 or \$194.98 and \$228.53 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,228,548 or \$192.74 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed between the two best comparable sales on an overall market value but falls below the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 26, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Uday Mehta, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085