

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Higgins
DOCKET NO.: 22-01427.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-04-201-166

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Higgins, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$29,726 **IMPR.:** \$123,704 **TOTAL:** \$153,430

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,244 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2017. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage with 521 square feet of building area. The property has a 7,150 square foot site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from .02 of a mile to 1.62 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 7,150 to 40,062 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size 2,178 to 2,617 square feet of living area that were built in 1996 or 2017. The appellant reported that each comparable has a basement, one of look-out design, central air conditioning and garage ranging in size from 519 to 682 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have either one or two fireplaces. The comparables sold

from June to October 2020 for prices ranging from \$440,000 to \$475,000 or from \$179.59 to \$218.09 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$153,430. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$460,336 or \$205.14 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. Comparables #1 and #2 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #2 and #3, respectively. The comparables are located from .02 to .09 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 7,150 to 8,350 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,112 to 2,260 square feet of living area that were built in 2017 and 2019. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, one of walk-out design, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 519 to 653 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from September 2020 to December 2022 for prices ranging from \$440,000 to \$570,000 or from \$194.69 to \$269.89 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted a total of four comparable properties for the Board's consideration, with two comparables being common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #1, due to its older age, distance of over one mile away from the subject property and its considerably larger lot size when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the Board finds the parties remaining common comparables, along with board of review comparable #3 are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and some features. These three comparables sold from September 2020 to December 2022 for prices ranging from \$440,000 to \$570,000 or from \$194.69 to \$269.89 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$460,336 or \$205.14 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range of the three best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec.

^{1910.50(}c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

three best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 26, 2024
	14:1016
	Mand
	Clark of the December Town Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul Higgins, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085