

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Todd Williams
DOCKET NO.: 22-01346.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-401-028

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Todd Williams, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$50,504 **IMPR.:** \$179,953 **TOTAL:** \$230,457

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick with frame exterior construction with 3,734 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1978 and has an effective age of 1982. Features of the home include a walkout basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 825 square foot garage. The property has a 50,328 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.63 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 41,653 to 90,881 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick, frame, or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,134 to 4,307 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1929 to 1989 with the oldest home having an effective age of 1986 due to being recently rehabbed. Each

home has a basement, two of which are walkouts and one of which has finished area, <sup>1</sup> central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 693 to 1,114 square feet of building area, one of which has a workshop area. The comparables sold from April 2020 to September 2021 for prices ranging from \$530,000 to \$650,000 or from \$123.06 to \$188.26 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$230,457. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$691,440 or \$185.17 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight comparable sales located within 0.79 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 34,232 to 70,447 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,371 to 3,747 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1980 to 1988 with comparable #5 having an effective age of 1995. Each home has a basement, one of which is a walkout, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 638 to 870 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #5 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2021 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$710,000 to \$940,000 or from \$202.28 to \$251.45 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of thirteen comparables sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #1, #4, #5, and #8, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size, lot size, and/or inground swimming pool amenity and/or which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #2, #3, #6 and #7, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are more similar to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant presented a listing sheet for comparable #3 with additional information regarding this property's features and amenities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

the subject in dwelling size, age, location, lot size, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$825,000 and \$875,000 or from \$243.06 to \$251.45 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$691,440 or \$185.17 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	1111216
	Man Ca
	Claula a Cala a Dua usanta Tasa Augus a 1 Dagus 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

#### PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Todd Williams, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085