



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Bei Zhang
DOCKET NO.: 22-01345.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-301-070

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bei Zhang, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$45,575
IMPR.: \$238,808
TOTAL: \$284,383

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 4,543 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a walkout basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 880 square foot garage. The property has a 44,431 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located from 0.47 of a mile to 1.17 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 32,430 to 57,070 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of frame or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,840 to 4,257 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1983 to 2011 with comparable #4 reported to have been recently rehabbed. Each home has a basement, one of

which is a walkout and one of which has finished area,¹ central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 759 to 851 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from April 2020 to April 2021 for prices ranging from \$670,000 to \$798,000 or from \$163.18 to \$192.45 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$284,383. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$853,234 or \$187.81 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located from 0.23 to 0.99 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 43,321 to 60,000 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of frame, brick, or frame with brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,132 to 4,514 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1975 to 2004 with the oldest home having an effective age of 1995. Each home has a basement, three of which are walkouts, central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 430 to 1,024 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from January 2021 to June 2022 for prices ranging from \$880,000 to \$1,250,000 or from \$199.38 to \$283.45 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparable #3, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size, age, and/or inground swimming pool amenity and/or which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #5, which sold for considerably more than the other comparables and appears to be an outlier.

¹ The appellant presented a listing sheet for comparable #4 which discloses additional features and amenities.

² Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #4, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, lot size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$880,000 to \$1,050,000 or from \$199.38 to \$240.77 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$853,234 or \$187.81 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bei Zhang, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 2
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085