

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ed Gatwood DOCKET NO.: 22-01343.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-36-304-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ed Gatwood, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$63,108 **IMPR.:** \$282,418 **TOTAL:** \$345,526

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 5,927 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 1,027 square foot garage, and an inground swimming pool. The property has an 82,017 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.96 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 42,460 to 88,615 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of frame or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,615 to 5,434 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1963 to 2000. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from

624 to 891 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from December 2019 to February 2021 for prices ranging from \$487,500 to \$785,000 or from \$105.63 to \$144.46 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$345,526. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,036,682 or \$174.91 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located from 0.32 of a mile to 1.84 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 42,056 to 173,560 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick or brick with frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,502 to 6,573 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1983 to 2005. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 722 to 1,467 square feet of building area. Comparables #2, #3, and #4 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from October 2020 to September 2022 for prices ranging from \$765,000 to \$1,510,000 or from \$166.74 to \$233.23 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #4, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size, lot size, and/or age.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #3, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, lot size, and features, although one of these comparables lacks an inground swimming pool that is a feature of the subject, suggesting an upward adjustment to this comparable would be needed to make it more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables sold for prices of \$785,000 and \$1,050,000 or for \$144.46 and \$177.60 per square foot of living area,

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,036,682 or \$174.91 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	Widl 215
	Clark of the Dranastry Tay Annual Road

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

#### PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Ed Gatwood, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085