



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Naveen Kancherla  
DOCKET NO.: 22-01337.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-15-303-101

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Naveen Kancherla, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$35,337  
**IMPR.:** \$110,218  
**TOTAL:** \$145,555

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling<sup>1</sup> of frame exterior construction with 2,481 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 420 square feet of building area. The property is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within the subject's assessment

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the photographic evidence, property sketches, and property record card submitted by the parties depict the subject as a part one-story and part-two story dwelling.

neighborhood. The comparables consist of part one-story and part two-story dwellings<sup>2</sup> of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,297 to 2,846 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 2003 or 2004. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement with three having finished area, and a garage containing 420 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2021 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$350,000 to \$467,000 or from \$145.82 to \$188.23 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$134,788, for an estimated market value of \$404,404 or \$163.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$145,555. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$436,709 or \$176.02 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>3</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #4 is the same property as appellant comparable #5. The comparables consist of part one-story and part two-story dwellings<sup>4</sup> of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,209 to 2,481 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2003 or 2004. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement with three having finished area, and a garage containing 420 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2021 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$420,000 to \$467,000 or from \$182.85 to \$192.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3, and #5, as well as board of review

---

<sup>2</sup> Although the appellant's grid describes the comparables as one-story dwellings, the grid reports second floor living area, indicating that these properties are part two-story dwellings.

<sup>3</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

<sup>4</sup> Although the board of review's grid describes the comparables as one-story dwellings, the grid reports second floor living area, indicating that these properties are part two-story dwellings.

comparables #1, #3, and #5, including the common comparable, due to their finished basements, a feature the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #2 and #4, along with board of review comparable sale #2, which are similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$350,000 to \$425,000 or from \$152.37 to \$192.39 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$436,709 or \$176.02 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on a per-square-foot basis. While the subject's estimated market value is above the range overall, the Board finds it logical given the subject's larger dwelling in relation to two of the three best comparables. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Naveen Kancherla, by attorney:  
Ronald Kingsley  
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC  
40 Landover Parkway  
Suite 2  
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085