

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tony Agostinelli DOCKET NO.: 22-01317.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-15-305-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tony Agostinelli, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$51,337 **IMPR.:** \$118,189 **TOTAL:** \$169,526

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,132 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1977. Features of the home include a basement finished with a 1,706 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 450 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 11,750 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within .83 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story

¹ Details not reported by the appellant were drawn from the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review.

dwellings ranging in size from 1,823 to 2,247 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1956 to 1973, with comparables #1 and #2 having effective ages of 1962 and 1981, respectively. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a basement with one having finished area,² and a garage ranging in size from 231 to 462 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool. The parcels range in size from 9,191 to 16,004 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from September 2020 to July 2022 for prices ranging from \$388,000 to \$460,000 or from \$188.17 to \$252.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service sheet for comparable #2, noting that the property was recently renovated. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$145,672, for an estimated market value of \$437,060 or \$205.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$169,526. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$508,629 or \$238.57 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.³

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .54 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,794 to 2,385 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1956 to 1959, with effective ages ranging from 1975 to 1999. Each dwelling has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 368 to 483 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have one or two fireplaces, two comparables each have a basement with one having finished area, and two comparables each have either a concrete slab foundation or a crawl-space foundation. The parcels range in size from 12,670 to 14,450 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from May 2020 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$552,000 to \$749,000 or from \$231.45 to \$368.42 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

² Additional details were drawn from the Multiple Listing Service sheet submitted by the appellant.

³ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2, as well as board of review comparables #3 and #4, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2022 valuation date at issue. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2, which differ from the subject in foundation.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1 and #3, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold for prices of \$388,000 and \$460,000 or for \$188.17 and \$252.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$508,629 or \$238.57 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in this record on a per-square-foot basis. While the subject's estimated market value is above the two best comparables overall, the Board finds it logical given the subject's larger dwelling and finished basement, a feature the two best comparables lack. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	1111216
	Man Ca
	Claula a Cala a Dua usanta Tasa Augus a 1 Dagus 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tony Agostinelli, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085