



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Anastasia Marinopoulos
DOCKET NO.: 22-01288.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-08-282-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anastasia Marinopoulos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$14,217
IMPR.: \$109,724
TOTAL: \$123,941

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,637 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 684 square foot garage.¹ The property has a 10,484 square foot site and is located in Elburn, Blackberry Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within the same subdivision as the subject. The comparables have 9,813 or 10,485 square foot sites that are improved with 2-story homes of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,651 to 3,401 square feet of

¹ Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant are found in the board of review's evidence and were not refuted by the appellant.

living area. The dwellings were built in 2005. Each home features a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a 2-car or a 3-car garage.² The comparables sold in July and September 2020 for prices ranging from \$335,000 to \$374,000 or from \$102.91 to \$126.37 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$123,941. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$371,860 or \$141.02 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.³

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same subdivision as the subject. Comparable #4 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The parcels range in size from 9,813 to 12,815 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of aluminum siding or brick and vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,613 to 2,836 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2005. Each home features a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 701 square feet of building area. The comparables sold in July 2020 and July 2021 for prices ranging from \$335,000 to \$440,000 or from \$126.37 to \$161.77 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted a brief contending that the appellant's sales occurred in 2020 and two sales are larger homes than the subject. The board of review asserted its sales demonstrate prices have risen since 2020. The brief included township assessor comments that the appellant's comparables differ from the subject in dwelling size, bathroom count, and/or basement finish. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with one common sale, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of

² Additional details regarding the comparables are found in the listing sheets presented by the appellant.

³ Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

review's comparable #4, including the common sale, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #3, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$410,000 to \$440,000 or from \$155.15 to \$161.77 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$371,860 or \$141.02 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 26, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Anastasia Marinopoulos, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review
Kane County Government Center
719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl.
Geneva, IL 60134