



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ann Gaines
DOCKET NO.: 22-01154.001-R-1 through 22-01154.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ann Gaines, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
22-01154.001-R-1	16-35-413-011	22,473	100,242	\$122,715
22-01154.002-R-1	16-35-413-012	6,609	0	\$6,609

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 1,610 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1977 and is approximately 45 years old. Features of the home include a finished lower level, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 380 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 6,200 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within .6 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of split-level or tri-level dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,566 to 2,027 square feet of living area. The

homes are 41 to 57 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a finished lower level, and a garage ranging in size from 294 to 572 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,212 to \$98,119 or from \$48.41 to \$57.65 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$84,766 or \$52.65 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the two parcels of \$129,324. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$100,242 or \$62.26 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within .45 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparables #2 and #3 are the same properties as appellant comparables #4 and #3, respectively. The comparables consist of split-level or tri-level dwellings of wood siding, brick or wood siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,542 to 1,575 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1977 to 1981, with the board of review reporting that comparable #3 has an effective age of 1992. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a finished lower level, and a garage ranging in size from 294 to 557 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,212 to \$96,021 or from \$53.14 to \$62.27 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with two comparables being common to the parties. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which differs from the subject in age and dwelling size. The Board also gives reduced weight to the shared comparable, which differs from the subject in design and effective age.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #4/board of review comparable #2 along with board of review comparable #1, which are similar to the subject in age, design, dwelling size, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$90,799 to \$96,021 or from \$51.38 to \$62.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$100,242 or \$62.26 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record on a per-square-foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best

comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ann Gaines, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085