



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lawrence Kreiter  
DOCKET NO.: 22-01127.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-34-208-035

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lawrence Kreiter, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$44,855  
**IMPR.:** \$112,642  
**TOTAL:** \$157,497

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,502 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1986, is approximately 36 years old, and has an effective age of 1987.<sup>1</sup> Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 380 square foot garage. The property has an 8,219 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from 0.4 of a mile to 1.0 mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of

---

<sup>1</sup> Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant are found in the subject's property record card and were not refuted by the appellant.

brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,374 to 2,728 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 44 to 52 years old. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 506 square feet of building area. The comparables are improvement assessments ranging from \$90,859 to \$114,586 or from \$34.52 to \$42.10 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$157,497. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$112,642 or \$45.02 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from 0.01 to 0.15 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,258 to 2,848 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1986 or 1993 with comparable #4 having an effective age of 1997. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 380 to 616 square feet of building area. Four homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,270 to \$137,625 or from \$44.93 to \$50.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #1, #3, and #4, which are less similar to the subject in age/effective age and/or basement finish than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #2 and #5, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age/effective age, location, and features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$108,270 and \$110,168 or \$47.36 and \$44.93 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$112,642 or \$45.02 per square foot of living area falls above the best two comparables in terms of total improvement assessment and is bracketed by the best comparables on a per square foot basis. The subject's assessment appears to be supported given its slightly larger dwelling size when compared to the best comparables. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the

Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lawrence Kreiter, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085