

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kirsten Laurie DOCKET NO.: 22-01126.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-117-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kirsten Laurie, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$54,984 **IMPR.:** \$115,000 **TOTAL:** \$169,984

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 2,226 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1930, is approximately 92 years old, and has an effective age of 1970.<sup>1</sup> Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 252 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 5,153 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick or stucco exterior construction ranging in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant are found in its property record card presented by the board of review and were not refuted by the appellant.

size from 1,753 to 3,128 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 17 to 93 years old. Each home has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and from a 264 to a 598 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$92,725 to \$160,135 or from \$45.72 to \$54.19 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$189,620. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$134,636 or \$60.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,002 to 2,366 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1930 to 1990 and have effective ages ranging from 1979 to 1996. Each home has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and from a 220 to a 504 square foot garage. Four homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,363 to \$139,251 or from \$55.15 to \$65.19 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #2, #4, and #5, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size, age, and/or effective age.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age/effective age, location, and features, although these comparables have finished basement area unlike the subject, suggesting downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables have improvement assessments of \$130,479 and \$135,465 or \$55.15 and \$62.31 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$134,636 or \$60.48 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the best comparables in this record, but appears to be excessive after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as age, effective age, and basement finish. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 21, 2024
-	141:10)16
	Mana
-	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Kirsten Laurie, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085