

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Edward Packel
DOCKET NO.:	22-01104.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-04-208-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edward Packel, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$95,504
IMPR.:	\$132,940
TOTAL:	\$228,444

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction that contains 2,222 square feet of living area. The dwelling has a chronological age of 91 years, being built in 1931, but has an effective construction date of 1957. Features of the home include a full basement partially finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> bathrooms, and an attached garage with 392 square feet of building area. The property has an 8,925 square foot site located in Lake Forest, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding, brick, or stone exterior construction that range in size from 1,696 to 2,732 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 71 to 81 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central

air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> bathrooms, and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 200 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .6 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$91,428 to \$144,319 or from \$52.43 to \$53.81 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$117,877.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$228,444. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$132,940 or \$59.83 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of vinyl siding, wood siding, or brick exterior construction that range in size from 1,941 to 2,464 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1938 to 1955 and have effective construction dates from 1966 to 1980. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 240 to 624 square feet of building area. The comparables have 2 or 4 full bathrooms and four comparables have an additional 1 or 2 half-bathrooms. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within approximately .21 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$137,280 to \$157,624 or from \$56.59 to \$70.73 per square foot of living area.

# Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and the comparables submitted by the board of review as these properties are improved with homes most similar to the subject dwelling in size. These properties area improved with homes relatively similar to the subject dwelling in age, style and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$106,794 to \$157,624 or from \$52.43 to \$70.73 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$132,940 or \$59.83 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Edward Packel, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

## COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085