

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Manolas DOCKET NO.: 22-01032.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-13-101-041

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Manolas, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$84,544 **IMPR.:** \$158,213 **TOTAL:** \$242,757

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 3,006 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1982 and is approximately 40 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 672 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 42,714 square foot site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within 0.41 of a mile from the subject. The properties have sites that range in size from 20,055 to 25,678 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,867 to 3,538 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 38 to 42 years old.

The comparables each have a basement with three having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 526 to 892 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2021 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$595,000 to \$755,000 or from \$207.53 to \$222.89 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$213,679 which reflects a market value of \$641,101 or \$213.27 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$242,757. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$728,344 or \$242.30 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review noted that the subject "has a larger lot than the comparables."

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property and within 0.59 of a mile from the subject. The properties have sites that range in size from 20,334 to 31,941 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,757 to 3,305 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1979 to 1985 and thus would range in age from approximately 37 to 43 years old. Each comparable has either a partially or fully finished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 400 to 832 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2021 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$685,000 to \$910,000 or from \$248.46 to \$275.34 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions, with each having smaller lot sizes than the subject. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 which is less similar in dwelling size than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features, except seven of these comparables have basement finish, a feature the subject lacks, and each comparable has a smaller lot size suggesting appropriate adjustments would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. These eight properties sold from May 2021 to March 2022

for prices ranging from \$595,000 to \$910,000 or from \$207.53 to \$275.34 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$728,344 or \$242.30 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 16, 2024
1111216
Chale of the December Ten Asset December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

John Manolas, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085