

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mark Schneider DOCKET NO.: 22-00926.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-23-301-060

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Schneider, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$34,816 **IMPR.:** \$135,244 **TOTAL:** \$170,060

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick and frame construction containing 3,393 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1993 and is approximately 29 years old. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ bathrooms, and an attached garage with 400 square feet of building area. The property has a 9,583 square foot site located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction the range in size from 3,139 to 3,444 square feet of living area. The comparables are 27 or 28 years old. Each property has a slab foundation, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  bathrooms, and an attached garage ranging in size from 441 to 483 square feet of building area. The

comparables are in the same neighborhood as the subject and from approximately .09 to .34 of a mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$102,979 to \$114,795 or from \$31.51 to \$33.49 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$111,375.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$170,060. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$135,244 or \$39.86 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on seven equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick and frame construction each built in 1993 and containing 3,393 square feet of living area. The comparables have identical features as the subject dwelling with a slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  bathrooms, and an attached garage with 400 square feet of building area. The comparables are in the same neighborhood as the subject and from approximately .06 to .12 of a mile from the subject. Each comparable has an improvement assessment of \$135,244 or \$39.86 per square foot of living area. The board of review submission indicated that the appellant's comparables are a different model than the subject while each of its comparables is the same model as the subject dwelling.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which are the same model as the subject. These comparables are improved with homes identical to the subject in age, style, size, and features. Each comparable has an improvement assessment of \$135,244 or \$39.86. The subject's improvement assessment of \$135,244 or \$39.86 per square foot of living is identical to the best comparables in this record. Less weight is given the appellant's comparables due to differences from the subject in model, size, and features. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 26, 2024
	14:1016
	Manton
	Clark of the December Town American December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Mark Schneider, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085