

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mostafa Papi DOCKET NO.: 22-00780.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-21-202-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mostafa Papi, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$79,112 **IMPR.:** \$108,501 **TOTAL:** \$187,613

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,836 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1988 and is approximately 34 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an 806 square foot attached garage, and a 484 square foot detached garage. The property has a 39,800 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,231 to 2,654 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 44 to 68 years old.

Each comparable has a basement, two of which have finished area, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 460 to 576 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$90,004 to \$99,098 or from \$37.26 to \$40.34 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$69,859 or \$38.05 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$187,613. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$108,501 or \$59.10 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,677 to 3,184 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1965 to 1977. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, two of which also have a finished or an unfinished lower level, central air conditioning one or two fireplaces, and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 504 to 858 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$87,718 to \$206,418 or from \$51.72 to \$64.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, none of which are truly similar to the subject due to substantial differences from the subject in age, dwelling size, and/or other features. Nevertheless, the Board gives more weight to board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are older in age but are most similar in dwelling size to the subject dwelling. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$87,718 and \$107,244 or of \$51.72 and \$63.95 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$108,501 or \$59.10 per square foot of living area falls slightly above these two comparables on an overall improvement assessment basis and is bracketed by these two comparables on a per-square-foot basis. The subject higher overall assessment is justified relative to these two comparables given the subject's newer age, larger dwelling size, and its two garages with a combined 1,290 square feet of building area. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 26, 2024
	Middle 215
	Clark of the Dungarty Tay Arneel Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Mostafa Papi, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085