

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gary Des Lauries DOCKET NO.: 22-00631.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-33-303-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gary Des Lauries, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$51,411 **IMPR.:** \$179,380 **TOTAL:** \$230,791

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,717 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1994 and is approximately 28 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished walkout basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a garage with 810 square feet of building area. The property has approximately 66,038 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have parcels that range in size from 39,648 to 67,034 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,688 to 4,746 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 24 to 36 years old. The comparables each

have an unfinished basement with one of these described as a walkout. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 704 to 1,040 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from July 2020 to September 2022 for prices ranging from \$540,000 to \$720,000 or from \$144.73 to \$159.98 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$188,389 which reflects a market value of \$565,224 or \$152.06 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$230,791. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$692,442 or \$186.29 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have parcels that range in size from 41,440 to 75,184 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,311 to 3,731 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1989 to 1996. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 575 to 822 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has a 600 square foot inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2021 to June 2022 for prices ranging from \$685,000 to \$925,000 or from \$188.19 to \$263.63 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to substantial differences from the subject in lot size, dwelling size, and/or have sale dates in 2020 occurring less proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue than other comparables in this record. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparable #4 which has an inground swimming pool, unlike the subject.

¹ Property Tax Appeal Board procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1, #2, #3, and #5 which sold proximate in time to the subject's assessment date and are overall more similar to the subject in design, age, dwelling size, and features. These properties sold from March to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$685,000 to \$925,000 or from \$188.19 to \$255.17 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$692,442 or \$186.29 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on an overall market value basis but below the range on a price per square foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering the appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified based on overvaluation.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a Residence of the second seco	Solet Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 26, 2024
	141.10)16
	Man DI

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Gary Des Lauries, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085