



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jeannine Viti
DOCKET NO.: 22-00572.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-16-410-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeannine Viti, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,967
IMPR.: \$82,206
TOTAL: \$104,173

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,322 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1901 and is approximately 121 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, two fireplaces, and a garage with 320 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 19,850 square foot site and is located in Waukegan, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,592 to 3,263 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 91 to 112 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement. Two comparables each have one or two

fireplaces. Three comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 440 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,667 to \$70,666 or from \$16.08 to \$21.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$60,045 or \$18.07 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$104,173. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$82,206 or \$24.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable properties that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick, wood siding, or aluminum siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,944 to 3,480 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1901 to 1930 and thus would range in age from approximately 92 to 121 years old. Comparable #3 built in 1905 has a reported effective age of 1960. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, one to three fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 400 to 1,032 square feet of building area. One comparable has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$80,743 to \$93,930 or from \$24.71 to \$31.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3 which are considerably smaller dwellings than the subject and/or lacks a garage, which is a feature of the subject. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #3 which has an effective age considerably newer than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are overall more similar to the subject in location, design, age/effective age, dwelling size, and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$70,666 to \$88,698 or from \$21.66 to \$29.06 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$82,206 or \$24.75 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant

did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeannine Viti, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085