



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jwalin Desai
DOCKET NO.: 22-00561.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-01-206-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jwalin Desai, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$34,254
IMPR.: \$139,761
TOTAL: \$174,015

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,510 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1990 and is approximately 32 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 621 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 41,759 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the subject's neighborhood code and within 0.13 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,441 to 3,912 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 28 to 32 years old. The comparables each have an unfinished basement, central air

conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 667 to 928 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$118,735 to \$142,587 or from \$31.73 to \$37.96 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$123,815 or \$35.27 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$174,015. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$139,761 or \$39.82 per square foot of living area.

The board of review asserted that the subject has more bathrooms and a larger basement than the appellant's comparables.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in subject's neighborhood code and within 0.19 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of frame, brick, and frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,326 to 3,619 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1994 to 1997 and thus would be approximately 25 to 28 years old. The comparables each have an unfinished basement with one described as a lookout. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges in size from 668 to 892 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$135,149 to \$152,616 or from \$40.63 to \$42.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 which differs from the subject in dwelling size and the appellant's comparable #4 which has an inground swimming pool, which is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be parties' remaining comparables which are overall more similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$118,735 to \$152,616 or from \$31.73 to \$42.17 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$139,761 or \$39.82 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments

to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jwalin Desai, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085