

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Umut Cam
DOCKET NO.:	22-00550.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	12-17-402-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Umut Cam, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$67,806
IMPR.:	\$179,888
TOTAL:	\$247,694

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,256 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1981 and is approximately 41 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 568 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 17,084 square foot site and is located in Lake Bluff, Shields Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the subject's neighborhood code and within 0.5 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,670 to 3,764 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 39 to 41 years old. The comparables each have a basement with finished area,

central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 484 to 945 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,711 to \$174,957 or from \$40.71 to \$49.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$151,892 or \$46.65 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$247,694. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$179,888 or \$55.25 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the subject's neighborhood code and within 0.42 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,397 to 3,592 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1983 to 1986 and thus would range in age from approximately 36 to 39 years old. Each comparable has a basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 550 to 704 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$181,986 to \$208,517 or from \$53.57 to \$58.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, `proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 as well as the board of review comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. However, board of review comparables #2 and #3 lack basement finish, which is a feature of the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$164,801 to \$208,517 or from \$49.95 to \$58.05 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$179,888 or \$55.25 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3 due to differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 18, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Umut Cam, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085