

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Oh

DOCKET NO.: 22-00537.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-26-105-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Oh, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$62,362 **IMPR.:** \$228,523 **TOTAL:** \$290,885

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,200 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002 and is approximately 20 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished walk out basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a garage with 744 square feet of building area. The property has a 36,335 square foot site and is located in Kildeer, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .49 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 46,292 to 108,917 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 4,359 to 5,324 square feet of living area that range in age from 18 to 21 years old. The appellant reported that each comparable has an unfinished basement, two of walk out design, central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 779 to 998 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from October 2020 to July

2021 for prices ranging from \$810,000 to \$955,000 or from \$158.51 to \$199.01 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$290,885. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$874,579 or \$208.23 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2022 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.26% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. Comparable #3 is the same as the appellant's comparable #2. The comparables are located within .38 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 46,292 to 121,149 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of either brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,179 to 4,877 square feet of living area that were built in either 2000 or 2002. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, two of walk out design, central air conditioning, either two or four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 779 to 1,047 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from August 2020 to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$867,500 to \$975,000 or from \$199.01 to \$224.93 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration, with one comparable being common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #2 due to their substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board gives reduced weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to its larger site size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable #2 along with board of review comparables #1 and #3, which includes the common comparable. The Board finds that these comparables are more similar to the subject in location, site size, age, dwelling size and some features. However, the board of review comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool, a feature the subject lacks, suggesting a downward adjustment would be required to make this comparable more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables sold in August 2020 and July 2021 for prices of \$867,500 and \$940,000 or \$199.01 and \$224.93 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$874,579 or \$208.23 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best

comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 20, 2024
M:11216
Clade of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

John Oh, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

#### **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085