

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rebecca Bradley
DOCKET NO.: 22-00517.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-36-101-045

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rebecca Bradley, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$41,389 **IMPR.:** \$120,943 **TOTAL:** \$162,332

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,477 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1976 and is approximately 46 years old. Features of the home include a partially finished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 475 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 13,133 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.27 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 12,980 to 15,598 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,464 to 2,731 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 46 to 51 years old. Each comparable

has a partially finished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 419 to 445 square feet of building area. The properties sold from February to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$450,000 to \$495,000 or from \$179.21 to \$189.73 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$151,262 which reflects a market value of \$453,831 or \$183.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$162,332. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$487,045 or \$196.63 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

The board of review noted that the subject had a permit of \$52,000 in 2018 for "kitchen and windows" and a new 411 square foot screen porch addition in June 2021.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.40 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 11,997 to 17,473 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 2-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,272 to 2,511 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1973 to 1977 and thus are approximately 45 to 49 years old. Each comparable has a partially finished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 426 to 484 square feet of building area. The properties sold from September 2020 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$499,900 to \$600,000 or from \$215.05 to \$243.51 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #5 which has a 1-story design, in contrast to the subject's 2-stry design, and a 2020 sale date occurring less proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue than other comparable sales in this record.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparables which sold more proximate in time to the subject's assessment date and are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These properties sold from January 2021 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$450,000 to \$600,000 or from \$179.21 to \$243.51 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$487,045 or \$196.63 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	ate: March 26, 2024	
	Michl 216	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

1 7 11

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rebecca Bradley, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085