

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Jeanette Mason
DOCKET NO .:	22-00339.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	13-36-408-059

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeanette Mason, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$46,185
IMPR.:	\$192,989
TOTAL:	\$239,174

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 3,396 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2015. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 689 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,091 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.21 of a mile from the subject, none of which are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables have sites that range in size from 8,398 to 13,970 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,560 to 3,300 square feet of living area. The dwellings were

built from 1986 to 1992. The comparables each have a basement with four having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 528 square feet of building area. The properties sold from July 2020 to November 2021 for prices ranging from \$415,000 to \$530,000 or from \$154.55 to \$178.26 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$190,564, which would reflect a market value of \$571,749 or \$168.36 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$239,174. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$717,594 or \$211.31 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of $33.33\%^{1}$.

The board of review noted that the assessor's comparables were newer homes from the same assessment neighborhood and the appellant "is using older cookie cutter homes" built from 1986 to 1992.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and from 0.02 of a mile to 1.16 miles from the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,233 to 25,100 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick, frame, or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,641 to 3,569 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1998 to 2015. The comparables each have a basement with three having finished area. The basement for comparable #4 is described as an exit, lookout. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 736 to 779 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2020 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$675,000 to \$850,000 or from \$222.75 to \$286.77 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables as well as board of review comparable #4

¹ Property Tax Appeal Board procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

which differ from the subject in age and/or have 2020 sale dates occurring less proximate in time to the subject's lien date at issue than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 which sold proximate in time to the subject's assessment date and are more similar to the subject in age and design with varying degrees of similarity in features. Each comparable is a smaller home when compared to the subject and two comparables have larger parcels than the subject and both have partially finished basements, unlike the subject, suggesting appropriate adjustments would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the three properties sold from June 2021 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$675,000 to \$790,000 or from \$245.88 to \$286.77 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$717,594 or \$211.31 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering the appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified based on overvaluation.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 20, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeanette Mason, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085