

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Terry Lyon

DOCKET NO.: 22-00306.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-08-213-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Terry Lyon, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$85,246 **IMPR.:** \$156,608 **TOTAL:** \$241,854

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,560 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1985. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 528 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 15,000 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.33 of a mile from the subject. One comparable is located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 13,400 to 87,120 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,034 to 3,641 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either

1950 or 1986. The comparables each have a basement with two having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges in size from 504 to 748 square feet of building area. The properties sold from June 2020 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$555,000 to \$752,000 or from \$189.51 to \$272.86 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$209,617 which reflects a market value of \$628,914 or \$245.67 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$241,854. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$725,635 or \$283.45 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales, two of which are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are within 0.63 of a mile from the subject. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #1. The comparables have sites that range in size from 13,400 to 20,740 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,511 to 3,061 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either 1967 or 1986. The comparables each have a basement with one having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges in size from 506 to 624 square feet of building area. The properties sold from November 2020 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$716,000 to \$925,000 or from \$245.67 to \$368.38 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains five comparable sales for the Board's consideration, which includes one sale shared by the parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 due to substantial differences from the subject in lot size. Further, the appellant's comparable #3 has a June 2020 sale date occurring less proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2022 assessment date at issue than other sales in this record. The Board also gives less weight to

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2022.

board of review comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier with a sale price that is considerably higher than other sales in this record.

The Board finds the evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #1/board of review comparable #2 and #3 which sold proximate in time to the subject's assessment date and are similar to the subject in location, design, and some features. However, appellant's comparable #1/board of review comparable #2 is a larger dwelling that lacks basement finish, a feature of the subject, and board of review comparable #3 is a considerably older home than the subject suggesting appropriate adjustments would be necessary for these differences to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two properties sold in November 2020 and April 2022 for prices of \$716,000 and \$752,000 or for \$245.67 and \$281.67 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$725,635 or \$283.45 per square foot of living area, land included, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record on an overall market value basis but is slighter higher than a price per square foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering the appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified based on overvaluation.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	Middl 214
	Clark of the Property Town Append

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Terry Lyon, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085