



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Aaron Peck  
DOCKET NO.: 22-00200.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-28-310-027

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aaron Peck, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$54,330  
**IMPR.:** \$337,904  
**TOTAL:** \$392,234

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding and brick exterior construction with 4,548 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a 3,114 square foot basement with 2,335 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 746 square foot garage. The property has a 13,200 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .41 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,036 to 4,286 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2003 to 2008. The comparables each have a 1,768 to 2,159

square foot basement with 1,025 to 1,467 square feet of finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 651 to 722 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$226,306 to \$290,958 or from \$52.80 to \$70.38 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$281,604 or \$61.92 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$392,234. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$337,904 or \$74.30 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .62 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,289 to 4,874 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2009 to 2015. The comparables each have a 2,179 to 2,519 square foot basement that is unfinished. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 576 to 814 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$338,550 to \$395,251 or from \$73.55 to \$85.31 per square foot of living area.

The board of review also noted the subject property has more basement area and finished basement/recreation room area than all of the county's comparables and the appellant's comparables.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to board of review comparable #1 due to its inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the nine remaining comparables are similar to the subject in location and design, and relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size and age. However, the Board finds these comparables have considerably smaller basement sizes with less finished area, if any, when compared to the subject, suggesting upward adjustments for these features would be required to

make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$226,306 to \$395,251 or from \$52.80 to \$85.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$337,904 or \$74.30 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 20, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Aaron Peck, by attorney:  
Gregory Riggs  
Tax Appeals Lake County  
830 West IL Route 22  
Suite 286  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085