

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Carlos Farias
DOCKET NO.: 22-00185.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-21-305-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carlos Farias, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,821 **IMPR.:** \$45,447 **TOTAL:** \$52,268

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a bi-level/raised ranch dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 960 square feet of above ground living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1985. Features of the home include a finished lower level, one full bath and central air conditioning. The property has an approximately 4,790 square foot site and is located in Round Lake Park, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with bi-level/raised ranch dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction containing either 960 or 1,028 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings were built in 1982 or 1983. Each comparable has a finished lower level and one full bath. Two

comparables each have an additional half bath and comparable #2 has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,381 to \$47,143 or from \$45.19 to \$45.86 per square foot of above ground living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$43,382 or \$45.19 per square foot of above ground living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$52,268. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$45,447 or \$47.34 per square foot of above ground living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with bi-level/raised ranch dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 912 to 988 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings were built from 1976 to 1983 with comparable #2 having a reported effective age of 1987. Each comparable has a finished lower level and one or two full baths. Two comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables each have a garage containing wither 360 or 572 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,987 to \$50,238 or from \$48.23 to \$50.85 per square foot of above ground living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and some features. However, the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, as well as board of review comparable #3 lack central air conditioning, a feature of the subject suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. Additionally, the appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparable #1 have a greater number of bathrooms, when compared to the subject and board of review comparables #2 and #3 each have a garage, not a feature of the subject, suggesting downward adjustments would be necessary for these differences. Nevertheless, these best comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$43,381 to \$50,238 or from \$45.19 to \$50.85 per square foot of above ground living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$45,447 or \$47.34 per square foot of above ground living area falls

within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 2 | 1. Fer |
|--|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| a de la companya della companya dell | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan De Kinin | Swah Bolley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | February 20, 2024 |
|-------|-------------------|
| | 111.1016 |
| | Mano |
| | |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Carlos Farias, by attorney: Gregory Riggs Tax Appeals Lake County 830 West IL Route 22 Suite 286 Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085