



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Rajesh Arumalla
DOCKET NO.: 22-00147.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-27-107-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rajesh Arumalla, the appellant(s), by attorney Gregory Riggs, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$27,822
IMPR.: \$146,891
TOTAL: \$174,713

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,048 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1991. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 441 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 10,114 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within 0.28 of mile from the subject, each of which has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,928 to 3,284 square feet of living area. The comparables were built from 1989 to 1993, with comparable #5 having a

reported effective age of 1996. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 441 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$136,748 to \$156,601 or from \$44.86 to \$47.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$142,930 or \$46.89 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$174,713. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$146,891 or \$48.19 per square foot of living area.

The board of review noted that the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 are lower due to prior board of review reductions and are "isolated examples of inequity."

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within 0.16 of a mile from the subject, each of which has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction with either 2,788 or 3,048 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1989 to 1994. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage with either 440 or 441 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$134,360 to \$147,156 or from \$48.19 to \$48.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds each of the parties' comparables to be similar to the subject location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$134,360 to \$156,601 or from \$44.86 to \$48.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$146,891 or \$48.19 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 26, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rajesh Arumalla, by attorney:
Gregory Riggs
Tax Appeals Lake County
830 West IL Route 22
Suite 286
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085