

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Jim Roach
DOCKET NO .:	22-00087.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-09-201-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jim Roach, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$90,111
IMPR.:	\$306,922
TOTAL:	\$397,033

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2022 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,720 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 1,356 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 106,722 square foot lakefront site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,411 to 5,820 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1992 to 2006. Each comparable has a basement, two of which are walk-out in style. Each

dwelling has central air conditioning, three or four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 830 to 1,196 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$277,592 to \$295,836 or from \$48.56 to \$53.72 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$280,280 or \$49.00 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$397,033. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$306,922 or \$53.66 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Board of review comparables #1 and #2 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, respectively. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,411 to 6,205 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1992 to 2011. Each comparable has a walk-out style basement, central air conditioning, two to six fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 830 to 1,802 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$290,690 to \$344,610 or from \$53.52 to \$58.66 per square foot of living area.

The board of review's grid analysis included a comment contending the subject property is situated on a lake and that all six of its comparable properties are also situated on lake lots. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as two properties were common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #1 and #2 which were not disclosed as having a lakefront view like the subject. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #4 and board of review comparables #2 and #3, including one of the common properties, which are less similar to the subject in age and/or dwelling size than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparable #3 along with the board of review comparables #1, #4, #5 and #6, including one of the common properties, which are more similar to the subject in location, view, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$295,836 to \$344,610 or from \$53.52 to \$58.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement

assessment of \$306,922 or \$53.66 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 20, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Jim Roach, by attorney: Gregory Riggs Tax Appeals Lake County 830 West IL Route 22 Suite 286 Lake Zurich, IL 60047

## COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085