

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jason Mitchell
DOCKET NO.: 21-57136.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-22-219-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jason Mitchell, the appellant, by attorney Holly Zeilinga, of Worsek & Vihon, in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$14,880 **IMPR.:** \$42,164 **TOTAL:** \$57,044

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,032 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 113 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,650 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-04 one-story or 1.5-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 162 years old. The homes range in size from 1,824 to 2,274

square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, and from a one-car to a three-car garage. Comparable #4 has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,131 to \$35,040 or from \$10.94 to \$15.41 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$26,482 or \$13.03 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$57,044. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$42,164 or \$20.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, where board of review comparable #3 is the same property as appellant's comparable #2. The board of review comparables are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-04 1.5-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that are either 130 or 133 years old. The homes range in size from 1,825 to 1,908 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement and comparable #1 has central air conditioning. Comparable #2 has a fireplace and each comparable has either a one-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,130 to \$35,960 or from \$12.67 to \$18.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five equity comparables, one of which was common to the parties, to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. While none of the comparables are truly similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, basement finish, and/or garage capacity, the Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to its significantly newer age of 45 years when compared to the subject that is 112 years old. The Board has also given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #4 which is approximately 12% larger than the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity on this limited record are appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with the board of review comparables, which includes the parties' common comparable. Adjustments to the comparables are necessary for differences in story height, age, dwelling size, basement finish and/or garage capacity when compared to the subject dwelling. The Board finds these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,130 to \$35,960 or from \$10.94 to \$18.85 per square foot of living area. The subject's

improvement assessment of \$42,164 or \$20.75 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis. The Board finds the subject's higher overall value is logical given the subject is 112 years old, when the best comparables range in age from 129 to 133 years old, the subject's newer date of construction supports a higher overall value. The grid analyses as completed by the parties indicates that each comparable necessitates upward adjustments to account for the subject's finished basement area, again supporting a higher overall valuation for the subject.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	111:10 16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Jason Mitchell, by attorney: Holly Zeilinga Worsek & Vihon 180 North LaSalle Street Suite 3010 Chicago, IL 60601

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602