



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Martin Varpa & Diane Danek
DOCKET NO.: 21-54989.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-13-228-004-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Martin Varpa & Diane Danek, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,500
IMPR.: \$59,876
TOTAL: \$70,376

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 104-year-old, one-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction with 1,586 square feet of living area. It contains two bathrooms, a full basement, and a one-car garage. The property has a 4,375 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of its inequity argument, appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables. Each of the comparable properties were improved with either a one-story or a one-and-one-half-story, single-family residence of masonry construction that ranged from 1,632 to 1,667 in square feet of living area. The comparables ranged: in bathrooms from one to two, in car-garage from none to two, and had either a full or no basement. They ranged in assessment from \$20.39 to \$28.52 per square foot of living area. Appellant also submitted a copy of the board of review's written

decision reflecting its final total assessment for the subject property of \$70,376. Based on this evidence, appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$55,526.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,376. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$59,876, or \$37.75 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. Each were improved with a either a one-story or a one-and-one-half-story residence of either stucco, frame and masonry, or masonry construction. They ranged in bathrooms from 1.1 to 3, in basement from partial to full, and had either none, a one-car, or a two-car garage. They ranged in square feet of living area from 1,535 to 1,635 and in assessment from \$37.88 to \$44.07 per square foot of living area. They were all located within a quarter of a mile from the subject property. In addition, the board of review included information in its grid analysis indicating one of its comparables sold in July of 2021 for \$1,350,000.

In rebuttal, appellant submitted two maps showing the proximity to the subject property of the comparables submitted by appellant and those submitted by the board of review. Appellant contends its comparables are closer in proximity and more similar to the subject property than the board of review's comparables.

A hearing was held on February 6, 2024. Appellant confirmed her arguments and documentary evidence. Appellant testified its comparables are closer to the subject property in proximity and are assessed lower than the subject property. In particular, appellant stated one of its comparables was located next door to the subject property, is almost identical to it, and is assessed lower. The representative for the board of review argued that the standard of proof is clear and convincing evidence and that the comparables submitted by the board of review are very similar to the subject property and located within two blocks of it.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4*. These comparables were most similar to the subject property in living area square footage, construction, and/or closest to it in proximity. The best comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$27.26 to \$44.07 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$37.75 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the

subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 26, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Martin Varpa & Diane Danek
2623 W. Sunnyside Ave.
Chicago, IL 60625

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602